

RESOLUTION NO. 67-08

**RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND,
CALIFORNIA, PROVIDING FOR THE FILING OF REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS AND
DIRECTING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO PREPARE AN IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS FOR
CITY MEASURES SUBMITTED AT MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS**

WHEREAS, Section 9285 of the Elections Code of the State of California authorizes the City Council, by majority vote, to adopt provisions to provide for the filing of rebuttal arguments for city measures submitted at municipal elections.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA, DOES RESOLVE, DECLARE, DETERMINE, AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

1. That pursuant to Section 9285 of the Elections Code of the State of California, when the City Clerk has selected the arguments for and against the measure which will be printed and distributed to the voters, the Clerk shall send copies of the argument in favor of the measure to the authors of the argument against and copies of the argument against to the authors of the argument in favor. The authors may prepare and submit rebuttal arguments not exceeding 250 words. The rebuttal arguments shall be filed with the City Clerk, accompanied by the printed names(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it, or if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization, and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers, not more than 10 days after the final date for filing direct arguments. Rebuttal arguments shall be printed in the same manner as the direct arguments. Each rebuttal argument shall immediately follow the direct argument which it seeks to rebut.
2. That all previous resolutions providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for city measures are repealed.
3. That the provisions of Section 1 shall apply at the November 4, 2008, Municipal Election and at each municipal election after that time.
4. Pursuant to Section 9280 of the Elections Code of the State of California, the City Attorney shall prepare an impartial analysis for any city measure that qualifies for a place on the ballot. The analysis shall not exceed 500 words in length.
5. That the City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution and enter it into the book of original Resolutions.

I certify that the foregoing was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond, California, at a meeting held on June 17, 2008, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmember Bates, Butt, Lopez, Marquez, Rogers, Sandhu, Thurmond, Viramontes, and Mayor McLaughlin

NOES: None

ABSTENTIONS: None

ABSENT: None

DIANE HOLMES
Clerk of the City of Richmond

[SEAL]

APPROVED:

GAYLE McLAUGHLIN
MAYOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE RENNE

State of California)
County of Contra Costa):
City of Richmond)

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy Resolution No. 67-08, finally passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held on June 17, 2008.

Clerk of the City of Richmond