

RESOLUTION NO. 2-12

REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised, entitled “Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian/Bicycle Projects,” which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of “TDA Article 3” funding; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, the City of Richmond desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the City of Richmond declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code, and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that should the grant be awarded to the City, the City accepts and appropriates the aforementioned grant funds in the amount of \$100,000 from the Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Pedestrian and Bicycle Funding, and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the City of Richmond to carry out the project; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that the City of Richmond attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Contra Costa County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held on January 10, 2012, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Beckles, Ritterman, Rogers, and Mayor McLaughlin.

NOES: None.

ABSTENTIONS: None.

ABSENT: Councilmembers Bates, Booze, and Vice Mayor Butt.

DIANE HOLMES
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
(SEAL)

Approved:

GAYLE MCLAUGHLIN
Mayor

Approved as to form:

RANDY RIDDLE
City Attorney

State of California }
County of Contra Costa } : ss.
City of Richmond }

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Resolution No. 2-12, finally passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting held on January 10, 2012.

RESOLUTION NO. 2-12

Attachment A

REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING

Findings

Page 1 of 1

1. That the City of Richmond is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the City of Richmond legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in "Attachment B" of this resolution.
2. That the City of Richmond has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the City of Richmond within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B which are bicycle projects have been included in a detailed bicycle circulation element included in an adopted general plan, or included in an adopted comprehensive bikeway plan (such as outlined in Section 2377 of the California Bikeways Act, Streets and Highways Code section 2370 et seq.).
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a "Class I Bikeway," meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are ready to commence implementation during the fiscal year of the requested allocation.
11. That the City of Richmond agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: FY 2012/13

Applicant: City of Richmond

Contact person: Tawfic Halaby

Mailing Address: 450 Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA 94804

E-Mail Address: Tawfic_Halaby@hotmail.com Telephone: (510) 621-1612

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Kieron Slaughter

E-Mail Address: Kieron_Slaughter@ci.richmond.ca.us

Telephone: (510) 620-6887

Short Title Description of Project: Harbour Way Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements

Amount of claim: \$ 100,000.00

Functional Description of Project: The proposed project is for the development of a striping plan and the installation of bicycle lanes and pedestrian crossings on Harbour Way from Wright Avenue (S) to Pennsylvania Avenue (N) in the City of Richmond. The proposed project is part of a package of priority bicycle and pedestrian projects that were approved along with the City's Bicycle Master Plan and Pedestrian Plan by the Richmond City Council on November 1, 2011. The project area traverses approximately two miles from Richmond's southern gateway at Highway 580, through a school zone, downtown commercial area, and north into a lower income residential neighborhood. The function and character of the street changes along its route, requiring a context-sensitive approach, a variety of bicycle and pedestrian markings, and education of community groups who are also working to improve the safety and appearance of the school zone and downtown commercial area. The proposed project complements a planned pedestrian improvement project on Harbour Way in front of the aforementioned school.

Project Elements: Planning, Engineering, Education

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3					
list all other sources:					
1. Planning	Pedestrian Plan: \$250,000.00. Bicycle Master Plan: \$75,000.00				
2. Environmental	CEQA: \$35,000.00				
3. Engineering		\$85,000			
4. Education		\$15,000			
Totals	\$360,000.00	\$100,000.00			

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO ?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	Yes

D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee? (If "NO," provide an explanation).	Yes, letter attached
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	No
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) _____	Yes
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	Yes, the project will be part of the City's routine maintenance