

RESOLUTION NO. 5-19

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA,
REQUESTING THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2018-19 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT
ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING**

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 4108, entitled "Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian and Bicycle Projects," which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of "TDA Article 3" funding; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 4108 requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay Region; and

WHEREAS, the City of Richmond desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Richmond declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code; and furthermore, be it

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the City of Richmond to carry out the project; and furthermore, be it

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the project has been reviewed by the Richmond Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Committee; and furthermore, be it

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Richmond attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and furthermore, be it

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Contra Costa County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held February 19 , 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Bates, Johnson, Martinez, Myrick, Willis,
Vice Mayor Choi, and Mayor Butt.

NOES: None.

ABSTENTIONS: None.

ABSENT: None.

PAMELA CHRISTIAN
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
(SEAL)

Approved:

TOM BUTT

Mayor

Approved as to form:

BRUCE GOODMILLER

City Attorney

State of California }
County of Contra Costa : ss.
City of Richmond }

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of **Resolution No. 5-19**, finally passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting held on February 19, 2019.

Certified as a True Copy

PAMELA CHRISTIAN
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA

BY *Jabuna Gunders*
ASSISTANT

Attachment A

**REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE
ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2018-19 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT
ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING**

Findings

Page 1 of 1

1. That the City of Richmond is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the City of Richmond legally impeded from undertaking the project described in "Attachment B" of this resolution.
2. That the City of Richmond has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project.
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project described in Attachment B complies with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description of the project in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project.
7. That the project described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the City of Richmond within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project described in Attachment B is included in a locally approved bicycle, pedestrian, transit, multimodal, complete streets, or other relevant plan.
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a bikeway meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project described in Attachment B will be completed before the funds expire.
11. That the City of Richmond agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B

**REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE
ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2018-19 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT
ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING**

Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: FY 18/19 Applicant: City of Richmond
 Contact person: Tawfic Halaby
 Mailing Address: 450 Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA 94804
 E-Mail Address: tawfic_halaby@ci.richmond.ca.us Telephone: (510) 621-1612
 Secondary Contact (in event primary not available): Patrick Phelan
 E-Mail Address: patrick_phelan@ci.richmond.ca.us Telephone: (510) 307-8111
Short Title Description of Project: 7th Street Sidewalk & Bicycle Facility Gap Closure Project
 Amount of claim: \$ 100,000

Functional Description of Project:

Freeways and rail lines pose significant barriers to mobility in Richmond. Few residential streets cross these barriers, creating choke points where all modes of transportation must pass, and 7th Street is one of these locations. 7th Street is the most direct route for residents of both Richmond's Shields-Reid Neighborhood and unincorporated North Richmond to access Central Richmond and crucial destinations such as the Richmond Intermodal Station and Kaiser Hospital, as well as places of employment, and shopping and entertainment amenities. There are gaps in both the bicycle and the pedestrian facilities along 7th Street connecting North Richmond and the Iron Triangle Neighborhood in Central Richmond. There are sidewalk gaps for 130 feet on the north side and 260 feet on the south side of 7th Street as the roadway alignment curves sharply and narrows and crosses underneath an elevated railroad. There is also a gap in the bicycle facility from north of the railroad tracks to Pennsylvania Avenue. The lack of pedestrian and bicycle facilities and the poor line of sight due to the sharp roadway curve create dangerous conditions for motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists. The City of Richmond seeks funding to close the sidewalk and bicycle facility gaps on 7th Street to facilitate all modes of transportation from North Richmond to the Iron Triangle Neighborhood in Central Richmond. To the north of the proposed project, 7th Street becomes Fred Jackson Way and is the "main street" of North Richmond, with both existing and planned bike/ped infrastructure such as bike lanes, sharrows, and bulbouts. Just a couple of blocks south of the project location is the funded and currently under design Yellow Brick Road project, a community driven bicycle and pedestrian project that will transform 8th Street into the Iron Triangle's north-south corridor for safe walking and bicycling all the way south to the Richmond Greenway. The proposed project will alleviate a dangerous condition and will facilitate continuous pedestrian and bicycle modes of transportation in these underserved neighborhoods.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

Project Elements: Construction Phase

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3			\$ 100,000.00		\$ 100,000.00
list all other sources:					
1. Local Funds		\$ 10,584.29	\$ 39,415.71		\$ 50,000.00
Totals		\$ 10,584.29	\$ 139,415.71		\$ 150,000.00

Project Eligibility:	YES/NO
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	NO – 2/5/2019
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	NO
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	YES
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC: <u>November 19, 2018</u>	YES
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	YES
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) <u>June, 2020</u>	YES
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	YES